



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,320	06/22/2001	Clifton E. Barry III	015280-413100US	7214
20350	7590 04/16/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			SAKELARIS, SALLY A	
- · · · - · · · ·	RCADERO CENTER		ADTABLE	DARCD MENADED
EIGHTH FLO	OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		1634	
	And the second		DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	_
Ť	
0	ሃ

## **Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/888,320	BARRY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sally A Sakelaris	1634	
_			

	Sally A Sakelaris	1634				
All Participants:	All Participants: Status of Application: After Final					
(1) Sally A Sakelaris.	(3)					
(2) <u>Lawrence Hyman</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 March 2004	Time:					
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed:						
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>						
sull. a.l.	-		,			
(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative to see if a response had been filed to the final office action dated 8/12/2003, as 6 months expired on 2/12/2004. Mr. Hyman said that a notice of appeal and after final amendment had in fact been filed on 2/11/2004. As the office had clearly not received these papers, but had charged the FEES associated with Notice of Appeal and Extension of time within third month, applicant was asked to fax in the amendment to the offical fax for scanning. ALthough the applicant did not have a postcard filing receipt, their charged account on 2/17/2004 was taken as proof of a timely submitted response. Following the scanning of papers into the TOC of this file, an advisory action will be completed by the examiner.